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## Constituent monopoles without gauge fixing

Thomas C. Kraan ${ }^{\text {a }}$ and Pierre van Baal ${ }^{\text {a* }}$

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Instituut-Lorentz for Theoretical Physics, University of Leiden, PO Box 9506, NL-2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands.

We discuss the recent construction of new exact finite temperature instanton solutions with a non-trivial value of the Polyakov loop at infinity. They can be shown, in a precise and gauge invariant way, to be formed by the superposition of $n$ BPS monopoles for an $S U(n)$ gauge group.

## 1. Introduction

Instantons at finite temperature (or calorons) are constructed on $\mathbb{R}^{3} \times S^{1}$, taking a periodic array of instantons. For $S U(2)$ the five parameter Harrington-Shepard solution [1] can be formulated within the 't Hooft ansatz. New exact solutions with a non-trivial value of the Polyakov loop at infinity [2] were only constructed very recently, either using [3] results due to Nalm [4] or by using [5] the well-known ADHM construction [6], translated by Fourier transformation to the Nahm language. Thus mapped to an Abelian problem on the circle, the quadratic ADHM constraint is solved [5].

## 2. New caloron solutions

In the periodic gauge, $A_{\mu}(x+\beta)=A_{\mu}(x)$, the Polyakov loop at spatial infinity
$\mathcal{P}_{\infty}=\lim _{|\vec{x}| \rightarrow \infty} P \exp \left(\int_{0}^{\beta} A_{0}(\vec{x}, t) d t\right)$,
after a constant gauge transformation, is characterised by ( $\sum_{m=1}^{n} \mu_{m}=0$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{P}_{\infty}^{0}= & \exp \left[2 \pi i \operatorname{diag}\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n}\right)\right]  \tag{2}\\
& \mu_{1}<\ldots<\mu_{n}<\mu_{n+1} \equiv \mu_{1}+1 .
\end{align*}
$$

A non-trivial value, $\mathcal{P} \notin Z_{n}$, acts like a Higgs field. We found [5c] a remarkably simple formula for the action density, valid for arbitrary $S U(n)$. Using the classical scale invariance to put $\beta=1$, $\operatorname{tr} F_{\mu \nu}^{\mathbf{2}}=\partial_{\mu}^{2} \partial_{\nu}^{2} \log \psi, \psi=-\cos (2 \pi t)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \prod_{m=1}^{n} A_{m}$,
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A_{m} \equiv \frac{1}{r_{m}}\left($$
\begin{array}{cc}
r_{m} & \left|\vec{y}_{m}-\vec{y}_{m+1}\right|  \tag{3}\\
0 & r_{m+1}
\end{array}
$$\right)\left($$
\begin{array}{cc}
c_{m} & s_{m} \\
s_{m} & c_{m}
\end{array}
$$\right),
\]

with $r_{m}=\left|\vec{x}-\vec{y}_{m}\right|$ the center of mass radius of the $m^{\text {th }}$ constituent monopole, which can be assigned a mass $16 \pi^{2} \nu_{m}$, where $\nu_{m} \equiv \mu_{m+1}-\mu_{m}$. Also $r_{n+1} \equiv r_{1}, \vec{y}_{n+1} \equiv \vec{y}_{1}, c_{m} \equiv \cosh \left(2 \pi \nu_{m} r_{m}\right)$ and $s_{m} \equiv \sinh \left(2 \pi \nu_{m} r_{m}\right)$. The order of matrix multiplication is crucial here, $\prod_{m=1}^{n} A_{m} \equiv A_{n} \ldots A_{1}$.


Figure 1. Action densities for the $S U(3)$ caloron on equal logarithmic scales, cut off at $1 / e$, for $t=0$ in the plane defined by $\vec{y}_{1}=\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right)$, $\vec{y}_{2}=\left(0, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right)$ and $\vec{y}_{3}=\left(\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{4}, 0\right)$, in units of $\beta$, for $\beta=1 / 4,1 / 3$ and $2 / 3$ from top to bottom, using $\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{3}\right)=(-17,-2,19) / 60$.

For $\mathcal{P}_{\infty}=\exp \left(2 \pi i \omega \tau_{3}\right)$ the $S U(2)$ gauge field reads [5a], in terms of the anti-selfdual 't Hooft tensor $\bar{\eta}$ and Pauli matrices $\tau_{a}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{\mu}(x)=\frac{i}{2} \bar{\eta}_{\mu \nu}^{3} \tau_{3} \partial_{\nu} \log \phi(x)+  \tag{4}\\
& \quad \frac{i}{2} \phi(x) \operatorname{Re}\left(\left(\bar{\eta}_{\mu \nu}^{1}-i \bar{\eta}_{\mu \nu}^{2}\right)\left(\tau_{1}+i \tau_{2}\right) \partial_{\nu} \chi(x)\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $\phi^{-1}=1-\frac{\pi \rho^{2}}{\psi}\left(\frac{s_{1} c_{2}}{r_{1}}+\frac{s_{2} c_{1}}{r_{2}}+\frac{\pi \rho^{2} s_{1} s_{2}}{r_{1} r_{2}}\right)$ and
 $\nu_{2}=1-2 \omega$ and $\pi \rho^{2}=\left|\vec{y}_{2}-\vec{y}_{1}\right|$. The solution is presented in the "algebraic" gauge, $A_{\mu}(x+\beta)=$ $\mathcal{P}_{\infty} A_{\mu}(x) \mathcal{P}_{\infty}^{-1}$.


Figure 2. Action densities for the $S U(2)$ caloron on equal logarithmic scales, cut off below $1 / e^{2}$, for $t=0, \omega=0.125, \beta=1$ and $\rho=1.6,1.2$ and 0.8 (from top to bottom).


Figure 3. As in fig. 2, now cut off below $1 / e$, for $t=0, \rho=\beta=1$ with $\omega=\frac{1}{4}$ (top) and 0 (bottom).

For small $\rho$, equivalent to large $\beta$, the caloron approaches the ordinary single instanton solution, with no dependence on $\mathcal{P}_{\infty}$. Finite size effects set in roughly when $\rho=\frac{1}{2} \beta$. At this point, for $\nu_{i} \neq 0$, two lumps ( $n$ for $S U(n)$ ) are formed, whose separation grows as $\pi \rho^{2} / \beta$. When $\mathcal{P}_{\infty}=(-) 1$ for $S U(2)$, one of the lumps disappears, as $\nu_{1(2)}=0$, and the spherically symmetric Harrington-Shepard solution is retrieved.

A non-trivial value of $\mathcal{P}_{\infty}$ will modify the vacuum fluctuations and thereby leads to a nonzero vacuum energy density [2] as compared to $\mathcal{P} \in Z_{n}$. A dilute, semi-classical instanton calculation is no longer considered a reliable starting point for QCD. Rather, it is the monopole constituent nature from which we should draw important lessons for QCD [5b].

## 3. Monopoles from instantons

At small $\beta$ the solution becomes static and the lumps are well separated and spherically symmetric. As they are self-dual, they are necessarily BPS monopoles [7]. Also, when sending (at fixed $\beta$ ) one of the constituents to infinity, $\left|\vec{y}_{m}\right| \rightarrow \infty$, the solution becomes static and yields a simple way to obtain $S U(n)$ monopole solutions [5c]. Explicitly we find (assuming $\nu_{n} \neq 0$ ) in the limit $\left|\vec{y}_{n}\right| \rightarrow \infty$, which removes the $n$-th constituent,
$A_{n} \rightarrow 2 c_{n}\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right), \quad A_{n-1} \rightarrow \frac{\left|\vec{y}_{n}\right|}{r_{n-1}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}s_{n-1} & c_{n-1} \\ s_{n-1} & c_{n-1}\end{array}\right)$,
implying $\psi(x) \rightarrow 2\left|\vec{y}_{n}\right| \exp \left(2 \pi \nu_{n}\left|\vec{y}_{n}-\vec{x}\right|\right) \tilde{\psi}(\vec{x})$, with
$\tilde{\psi}(\vec{x})=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left\{\frac{1}{r_{n-1}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}s_{n-1} & c_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right) \prod_{m=1}^{n-2} A_{m}\right\}$.
As was emphasised in ref. [5c], the energy density of the $S U(n)$ monopole is easily found from eq. (3) (for a detailed description of some special cases see ref. [8])
$\mathcal{E}(\vec{x})=-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} F_{\mu \nu}^{2}(\vec{x})=-\frac{1}{2} \Delta^{2} \log \tilde{\psi}(\vec{x})$.

## 4. Instantons from monopoles

The new caloron solutions provide examples of gauge fields with topological charge built out of monopole fields, a construction going back to

Taubes [9]. Non-trivial $S U(2)$ monopole fields are classified by the winding number of maps from $S^{2}$ to $S U(2) / U(1) \sim S^{2}$, where $U(1)$ is the unbroken gauge group. Isospin orientations for a configuration made out of monopoles with opposite charges behave as shown in fig. 4 (top), in a suitable gauge and sufficiently far from the core of both monopoles. Taubes constructed topologically non-trivial configurations by creating a monopole anti-monopole pair, bringing them far apart, rotating one of them over a full rotation and finally bringing them together to annihilate (cmp. fig. 5). We can describe this as a closed monopole line (or loop) with the orientation of the core defined by $S U(2) / U(1) \sim S^{2}$, "twisting" along the loop, thus describing a Hopf fibration [5b] (see fig. 4 (bottom)). The only topological invariant available to characterise the homotopy type of this Hopf fibration is the Pontryagin index. It prevents full annihilation of the "twisted" monopole loop.

For large $\rho$, eq. (4) gives up to exponential corrections, i.e. outside the cores of the constituents,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\mu}=\frac{i}{2} \tau_{3} \bar{\eta}_{\mu \nu}^{3} \partial_{\nu} \log \phi_{0}, \quad \phi_{0} \equiv \frac{r_{1}+r_{2}+\pi \rho^{2}}{r_{1}+r_{2}-\pi \rho^{2}} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This describes two Abelian Dirac monopoles and one easily verifies $\log \phi_{0}$ is harmonic, as required by self-duality. Furthermore $\phi_{0}^{-1}$ vanishes on the line connecting the two monopole centers, giving rise to return flux, absent in the full theory. The relative phase $e^{-2 \pi i t}$ in the expression for $\chi$ given


Figure 4. Topological charge constructed from oppositely charged monopoles by rotating one of them. For a closed monopole line, the embedding of the unbroken subgroup makes a full rotation.
before, describes the full rotation of the core of a constituent monopole, required so as to give rise to non-trivial topology.

A conjectured QCD application, in the form of a hybrid monopole-instanton liquid, was discussed in ref. [5b]. Abelian projection applied to our solutions was also discussed at this conference [10].


Figure 5. Action density in the $z$ - $t$-plane for $x=$ $y=0, \omega=\frac{1}{4}, \rho=\frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta=1$ on a linear scale. One can trace the constituent monopoles in the low field regions, "annihilating" to give an instanton.
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